

**Rules for the Use of AI Tools
in Degree Programmes Offered by the UW Institute of English Studies
(amendment of 9 December 2025 to Resolution No. 17 of 7 September 2023) – consolidated
text**

1. In student work submitted within degree programmes at the UW Institute of English Studies:

- 1.1 The use of AI tools for functions similar to those offered by existing internet search engines is permitted;
- 1.2 The use of AI tools (search results) must be clearly and unambiguously referenced.

2. In student work submitted within degree programmes at the UW Institute of English Studies:

- 2.1 It is prohibited to include AI-generated text (whether modified or unmodified) without appropriate references (see: 1.2);
- 2.2 It is prohibited to use machine translation and present it as own translation.
- 2.3 It is prohibited to include so-called “AI hallucinations” (i.e., references to non-existent sources or references to non-existent content). The presence of “hallucinations” in the work is considered grounds for a negative assessment of the work. A negative assessment of the work may be corrected in accordance with the general rules adopted by the Institute of English Studies.

3. Final recommendations:

- 3.1 It is up to individual instructors to determine how AI tools may be used and documented in written assignments;
- 3.2 The use of AI tools, when agreed upon with the instructor (see: 3.1), must always be accompanied by appropriate references (see: 1.2).

4. In case of suspected lack of academic integrity due to the use of AI tools, the instructor:

- 4.1 Has the right to reject the work;
- 4.2 May conduct an oral verification of the authorship of the work;
- 4.3 May return the work for correction and/or require it to be rewritten;
- 4.4 In cases of repeated and/or flagrant violations, the matter may be treated as a breach of the Study Regulations.

5. Procedure recommended by the Teaching Council of the UW Institute of English Studies in case of suspected lack of authorship related to the use of AI tools:

- 5.1 Inform the student that an irregularity has been detected;

5.2 Return the work for revision;

5.3 Set a deadline for the submission of the revised work;

5.4 Assess the revised work;

5.5 Inform the student that if irregularities are found again, a failing grade (2.0) will be awarded.